Smuggling in the service of Control or: three cheers for smuggling

Jacek Witkoś, UAM, Poznań

This presentation aims at showing a common core element in the following three(somewhat related) phenomena:(A) plain object constructions involving Subject Control across an **Object**, which are problematic for syntactocentric views of control that expect all syntactic relations to be sensitive to Minimal Link Condition; (B) Visser's Generalization (Visser 1973) holding that the passive transformation is compatible with Object Control (cf. 2b) but incompatible with Subject Control (cf.2a):

(1)

- Mark₁ promised Betty₂ [PRO₁ to take out the garbage]. a.
- Mark₁ persuaded Betty₂ [PRO₂ to take out the garbage]. b.

(2)

- *Betty₂ was promised t₂ PRO₁ to take out the garbage by Mark. a.
- b. Betty₂ was persuaded t₂ PRO₂ to take out the garbage by Mark.

Interestingly, when the passive does not involve promotion of the object to the subject position (as in German and Dutch, cf. 3 and Polish cf.4), Subject Control and the (impersonal) passive construction are compatible:

- (3) Erverdmijbeloofd om me op de hoogtetehouden. there was me_{DAT}promise_{PAST} Comp me_{DAT} on the height to keep_{INF} 'It was promised to me to keep me informed.'
 - Mir wurde versprochen, mir noch heute den Link fur das Update zu schicken. b. me_{DAT} was promise me_{DAT} still today the link for the update to send_{INF} 'It was promised to me to send me the link for the update today.'
- Po odkryciu przesyłki z bombą, (4) after discoveryLOCpackageGEN with bombINST
 - 'After the discovery of a letter bomb
- ...wczoraj pro_{arb.1} kazano sekretarce₂ [PRO₂ otwierać wszystkie listy] a.
 - ...yesterday tell_{IMP}secretary_{DAT}open_{INF} all letters
 - ... yesterday they told the secretary to open all letters.'
- b. wczoraj pro_{arb.1} obiecano sekretarce₂ [PRO₁ otwierać wszystkie listy]
 - ...yesterday promise_{IMP}secretary_{DAT}open_{INF} all letters
 - "...yesterday they promised the secretary to open all letters."

Finally, (C) the pattern of control into prepositional gerunds is preserved under the passive in Polish:

- (5) Szef₁ zwolnił swojego najlepszego pracownika₂ [za PRO*_{1/2} picie w pracy] boss fired his best worker for drinking at work
 - 'The boss fired his best worker for drinking at work.'
 - Najlepszy pracownik₂ został pro₁ zwolniony [za PRO*_{1/2} picie w pracy] b. best worker was fired for drinking in work
 - 'The best worker was fired for drinking at work.'

All the three phenomena can be explained through an application of the smuggling derivation (Collins 2005a-b).